MINISTRY OF EDUCATION AND SCIENCE OF UKRAINE MYKOLAYIV NATIONAL AGRARIAN UNIVERSITY



Electronic Scientific Edition on Economics

Issued 6 times per year

Issue 4 (2017)

Mykolayiv 2017 Founder: Mykolayiv National Agrarian University.

Editor-in-Chief: CHERVEN I. I. - Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Professor.

Vice Editor-in-Chief: SIRENKO N. M. - Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Professor.

Responsible Secretary of the Edition: POLTORAK A. S. - Ph.D. (Economics).

NOVIKOV O. Ye. - Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Associate Editorial Board: POTRYVAEVA N. V. - Doctor of Sciences (Economics), **Professor: Professor:** VYSHNEVSKA O. M. - Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Professor; BANIEVA I. O. - Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Professor; BARANOVA V. G. - Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Professor; HAVRYSH V. I. - Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Professor; HARKUSHA O. M. -Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Professor; HONCHARENKO I.V. - Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Professor; HUDZYNSKYI O. D. - Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Professor; DUBININA M. V. - Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Associate Professor; YERMAKOV O. IU. - Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Professor; IRTYSHCHEVA I. O. - Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Professor; KISHCHAK I.T. - Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Professor; KOTYKOVA O. I. - Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Professor; KLIUCHNYK A. V. - Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Professor; KSIONGHYK I. V. - Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Associate Professor; POHRISHCHUK B. V. - Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Professor; SAKHATSKYI M. P. - Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Professor; USHKARENKO IU. V. -Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Professor; SHEBANINA O. V. - Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Professor; YATSENKO V. M. - Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Professor; BABENKO M. D. - Ph.D. (Economics), Associate Professor; BARYSHEVSKA I. V. - Ph.D. (Economics), Associate Professor; BURKOVSKA A. V. - Ph.D. (Economics), Associate Professor; VOLOSIUK U. V. - Ph.D. (Engineering), Associate Professor; KLOCHAN V. P. - Ph.D. (Economics), Associate Professor; KOZACHENKO L. A. - Ph.D. (Economics), Associate Professor; KUZIOMA V. V. - Ph.D. (Economics), Associate Professor; LUNKINA T. I. - PhD (Economics), Associate Professor; MELNYK O. I. - Ph.D. (Economics); SYRTSEVA S. V. -Ph.D. (Economics); CHEBAN U. U. - Ph.D. (Economics), Associate Professor; SHYSHPANOVA N. O. - Ph.D. (Economics); ASTAFIEVA V. O. - Ph.D. (Economics), Associate Professor (Belarus Republic); DZHULIIA OLBRAIT - PhD (Economics), Professor (USA).

> Recommended for the Internet and distributed by the Scientific Council of Mykolayiv National Agrarian University (min. # 1, 29.08.2017). Issued 6 times per year No part of any article can be published without reference to the journal The Editorial Board will not always share the viewpoints of the authors.

Editorial Office Address: 9, Georgii Gongadze Str., 54020, Mykolayiv, Ukraine Mykolayiv National Agrarian University tel. 0 (512) 58-03-25 http://modecon.mnau.edu.ua/ e-mail: modecon@mnau.edu.ua

> © Mykolayiv National Agrarian University, 2017

JEL Classification: G31, G39

Janusz Nesterak, Doctor of Economics, Professor of Economic University in Krakow, Poland

Malinovska O., Candidate of Sciences (Economics), Associate Professor, Department of Economics and Management, Lviv National University by Ivan Franko, Lviv, Ukraine

BENCHMARKING ROLE IN THE CAPITAL CONTROL OF THE ENTERPRISE

Introduction. In conditions of severe international competition and the global trend of transition to an innovative way of development, the creation of a sustainable economic system is impossible without the formation of an effective innovation mechanism.

Purpose. The main purpose of benchmarking is to detect negative outcomes in the values of comparable indicators and the causes of such deviations and to develop proposals for their elimination. The purpose of the article is to study theoretical views on the economic nature of benchmarking and to substantiate its role in the process of controlling capital of an enterprise.

Results. As a result of the study of essence, key elements of benchmarking, its role in the process of controlling capital of the enterprise is substantiated, which manifests itself in continuous monitoring and implementation of the best results of the functioning of capital and experience in managing it. It was found that in

order to improve the efficiency of the process of controlling the capital of an enterprise, it is not sufficient to compare the indicators of its functioning with the indicators of other enterprises. It is also necessary to create a monitoring system that will ensure that deviations of the planned performance indicators of capital are dealt with and assess their significance.

Conclusions. Benchmarking is a useful tool for controlling capital of an enterprise, especially in a situation where it is necessary to review the internal efficiency of an enterprise and identify new priorities. Comparison of indicators of physical, financial and intellectual capital constituents allows us to understand the vulnerable and rational aspects of its functioning in comparison with enterprises of the same industry and region. Prospects for further research in this area are the definition of types of benchmarking, the peculiarities of their technology.

Keywords: benchmarking, controlling, enterprise capital, enterprise, comparative analysis.

References:

^{1.} Zakharchenko, V. I. Korsikova, N. M. and Merkulov, M. M. (2012), *Innovatsiinyi menedzhment: teoriia i praktyka v umovakh transformatsii ekonomiky* [Innovation Management: Theory and Practice in transforming the economy], Tsentr uchbovoi literatury, Kyiv, Ukraine.

^{2.} Reyder, R. (2007), *Benchmarking kak instrument opredeleniia strategii i povysheniia pribyli* [Benchmarking as a tool to determine the strategy and increase profits], Standarti i kachestvo, Moscow, Russia.

^{3.} Didenko, Yu. Yu. and Soboleva, T. P. (2011), "Study concept of benchmarking costs as part of total quality management methodology", *Biznes Inform*, vol. 1, pp. 107-109.

^{4.} Kryvoruchko, O. M. and Sukach, Yu. O. (2012), "The main provisions of benchmarking business processes ATP", *Ekonomika transportnoho kompleksu*, vol. 20, pp. 78-89.

^{5.} Rigby, K. Darrell (2013), Managment toolsBoston: Bain & Company, Inc.

^{6.} Starikov, V. V. (2006), "Benchmarking – the path to perfection" [Online], available at: http://www.mavriz.ru/articles/rubric/?rubric=18

^{7.} Kharrington, Kh. Dzh. and Kharrington, Dzh. S. (2004), *Benchmarking v luchshem vide. 20 shagov k uspekhu* [Benchmarking at its best. 20 steps to success], Piter, St. Petersburg, Russia.

8. Samp, R. C. (1989), Benchmarking. The Search for Industry Best Practices That Lead to Superior PerformanceMilwaukee; Wisconsin: ASQC Industry Press.

9. Shchehelska, O. (1999), "Business process reengineering - the need for a dynamic market", *Upravlenye kompanyei*, vol. 1-2, pp. 13-19.

10. Karpenko, N. V. (2007), "Benchmarking business processes for small and medium businesses", *Mekhanizm rehuliuvannia ekonomiky*, vol. 4, pp. 138-145.

11. Mojseyenko, I. (2002), "Benchmarking as a method of financial control of an enterprise", *Economy of agroindustrial complex*, vol. 9, pp. 237-242.

12. Sablina, N. V. (2009), "Controlling the costs of industrial rail transport enterprises", Ph.D. Thesis, Economy and management of enterprises, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kyiv, Ukraine.

Modern Economics Nº4(2017) Electronic Scientific Edition



CONTENTS

| Berezhnyuk I., Kolyada S. INTERNATIONAL APPROACHES TO FORMING BALANCE BETWEEN SIMPLIFICATION OF FOREIGN TRADE PROCEDURES | |
|--|----|
| AND PROVISION OF ECONOMIC SECURITY OF THE STATE | 6 |
| Vyshnevska O., Voloshyna V., Harina Y., Vyshnevska A. PRIORITIES OF RATIONAL NATURAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT | 14 |
| Hariaha L. IMPROVEMENT OF MANAGEMENT OF FINANCIAL RESULT OF THE BANK | 23 |
| Gevlych L., Gevlych I. AUDIT METHODS: INTERNATIONAL AND NATIONAL INTERPRETATION | 35 |
| Hnatyshyn L. ORGANIZATIONAL ASPECTS AND PROCEDURE OF FINANCIAL REPORTING FORMATION | 43 |
| Holovko M. CONCEPTUAL APPROACHES TO REFORMATION OF TAX SYSTEMS | 52 |
| Grytsaenko M. SOCIAL CAPITAL OF TERRITORIAL COMMUNITIES AND THEIR ASSOCIATIONS | 63 |
| Demkovich O. METHODS OF PROMOTING SOCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR PAYMENTS INCOME | 75 |
| Zadorozhna R. FEATURES OF CALCULATING OF FINANCIAL INTERMEDIATION SERVICES INDIRECTLY MEASURED IN THE SYSTEM OF NATIONAL ACCOUNTS | 82 |
| | 02 |

| Maslyk R. MODES OF MODERNIZATION OF THE SYSTEM OF FINANCIAL PROVISION OF SOCIAL PROTECTION OF UKRAINE'S POPULATION | 93 |
|---|-----|
| Naumik-Gladka K. MARKETING AND PSYCHOLOGICAL ASPECTS SPA-INDUSTRY ACTIVITY IN HOTEL COMPLEXES | 100 |
| Nelipovych O. CUSTOMS FORMALITIES AT AVIATION TRANSPORT IN UKRAINE | 107 |
| Prokopyshyn M., Sus T. MAIN DIRECTIONS OF AGROINDUSTRIAL COMPLEX DEVELOPMENT IN GLOBALIZATION CONDITIONS OF UKRAINE | 114 |
| Sus T. CONCEPTUAL ASPECTS OF INNOVATIVE DEVELOPMENT FINANCING IN AGRARIAN SPHERE | 122 |
| Shpykuliak O., Prutska O., Suprun O. BASES OF FORMATION OF INSTITUTIONAL SAFETY AT THE AGRICULTURAL ENTERPRISES IN CONDITIONS OF TRANSFORMATION | 130 |
| Janusz Nesterak, Malinovska O. BENCHMARKING ROLE IN THE CAPITAL CONTROL OF THE ENTERPRISE | 142 |